

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/528,427	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VICHINSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Matthew O. Savage	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Mr. J.D. Evans.

**Date of Interview:** 26 March 2008

**Status of Application:** non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:45 am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Section 102(b) rejections.*

Claims discussed:  
*1 and 9*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Leason et al*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreed to amend claims 1 and 9 to patently distinguish over Leason et al. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)